

bet 365bet

Reply from Independent Betting Adjudication Service

We do understand your disappointment with this situation.

For the benefit of readers, the reviewer placed a bet with a bookmaker on a player to score MORE than one goal in a football match.

The official data agency for the league in question determined that the player had only scored ONLY one goal.

Then, two days later, they updated their data to say - after review - that the player had actually scored two goals.

The IBAS Adjudication Panel accepted the bookmaker's argument that there needs to be a cut off point for all bets, otherwise no bet would ever be closed in case data was amended at a later date. The cut off point used by this bookmaker was the final whistle of the match.

The bookmaker's rules clarified that any subsequent changes to match results/data would not affect the settlement of bets.

As a result the reviewer had good reason to consider themselves unlucky. Had the data provider credited the second goal to the player on the day he would have won his bet. The delay in changing that data caused his bet - which would have been a winner - to be a loser.

However, bad luck is not the same as unfairness. In this case, another player was credited with the second goal and people who bet on that player to score will have been lucky. The reviewer was unlucky. But we did not agree that he was treated unfairly.

Kind regards

Richard

IBASTEAM

Autor: mcaseconsulting.com

Assunto: bet 365bet

Palavras-chave: bet 365bet

Tempo: 2024/2/26 6:13:07